

	Teresa S. Renaker – CA State Bar No. 187800 trenaker@lewisfeinberg.com Lindsay Nako – CA State Bar No. 239090		
	lnako@lewisfeinberg.com LEWIS, FEINBERG, LEE, RENAKER & JACK	SON P.C.	
	1330 Broadway, Suite 1800 Oakland, CA 94612		
5	Telephone: (510) 839-6824 Facsimile: (510) 839-7839		
6	Attorneys for the Class		
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
	JERRY VAUGHN and THERESA TRAVERS,)) Case No. C03-5725 (SC)	
13 14	Plaintiffs,) [PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING CLASS NOTICE AND REQUIRING	
15	v.	DISTRIBUTION TO CLASS MEMBERS	
16	BAY ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT INC., CAESAR NUTI, DENNIS VARNI, MARIO AQUILINO, LOYD BONFANTE SR., JOSEPH DELLA ZOPPA, ESTATE OF)))	
18	RICHARD GRANZELLA SR., EDWARD MENOSSE, PASQUALE PARENTI, FSC SECURITIES CORPORATION, and JERROLD N. WEINBERG,		
20	Defendants.))	
21			
22	FSC SECURITIES CORPORATION and JERROLD N. WEINBERG,		
23	Cross-Claimants,		
24	v.))	
2526	BAY ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT INC., ESTATE OF PINA J. BARBIERI, CAESAR NUTI, and DENNIS VARNI,		
27 28	Cross-Defendants.		
40	[Do one and Opper Assessment County of	And Drawing Drawing Control	
	[Proposed] Order Approving Class Notice a Members [Case No. C03-5725 (SC)]	ND REQUIRING DISTRIBUTION TO CLASS Page 1	

Based on the Order Certifying Class Action entered by this Court on October 1, 2009, (Docket No. 134), and the Sua Sponte Order for Notice of Class Certification to Class Members entered by this Court on October 2, 2009, (Docket No. 135),

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Court approves Class Notice substantially conforming to the Class Notice prepared by Plaintiffs and filed as Exhibit A hereto, as the best notice that is practicable under the circumstances.
- 2. Within five (5) business days following the entry of this Order, Defendants will provide directly to Class Counsel a list (in electronic form) containing the names and last known addresses of all individuals who may potentially be Class Members.
- 3. Within fourteen (14) days after the receipt of such information, Class Counsel will ensure that notices substantially conforming to the Class Notice prepared by Plaintiffs and filed as Exhibit A hereto have been mailed to all potential Class Members.
- 4. The cost of preparing and mailing the Class Notice will be borne by the Named Plaintiffs.

Dated: October 26, 2009



[Proposed] Order Approving Class Notice and Requiring Distribution to Class Members [Case No. C03-5725 (SC)]

1	PROOF OF SI	ERVICE	
2	I, Rocky Giannetta, declare:		
3	My business address is 1330 Broadway, Suite 1800, Oakland, California 94612. I am		
4	over the age of 18 years and not a party to the above-entitled action.		
5	On October 22, 2009, I served:		
6	[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING CL		
7	DISTRIBUTION TO CLASS MEMBERS		
8	EXHIBIT A - CLASS NOTICE		
9	on the persons listed below by placing a true and con	rect copy thereof in a United States Postal	
10	Service Mail Box, with First Class with postage prepaid, addressed as follows:		
11	D. Ward Kallstrom	Bernard Gehlhar	
12	Nicole A. Diller Angel T. Lin	Emily Wood WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,	
	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS One Market, Spear Street Tower	EDELMAN & DICKER LLP 525 Market Street, 17 th Floor	
14	1: (415) 442-1000	San Francisco, CA 94105-2725 T: (415) 433-0990	
13		F: (415) 434-1370	
16	Attorneys for Defendants Bay Environmental Management Inc.,	Attorneys for Defendants FSC Securities Corporation and Jerrold N.	
17	Caesar Nuti, and Dennis Varni	Weinberg	
18			
19	I declare under penalty of perjury that the for	regoing is true and correct. Executed on	
	October 22, 2009, at Oakland, California.		
21	-	/s/ Rocky Giannetta Rocky Giannetta	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	[Dronogen] Onnen Annovens Crass Norgen	Declining Distribution to Crass	
	[Proposed] Order Approving Class Notice and Requiring Distribution to Class Members [Case No. C03-5725 (SC)]		

EXHIBIT A

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

JERRY VAUGHN and THERESA TRAVERS,	
Plaintiffs,	Case No. C03-5725 (SC)
ý	Honorable Samuel Conti
v.	
BAY ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT INC., CAESAR NUTI, DENNIS VARNI, MARIO AQUILINO, LOYD BONFANTE SR., JOSEPH DELLA ZOPPA, ESTATE OF RICHARD GRANZELLA SR., EDWARD MENOSSE, PASQUALE PARENTI, FSC SECURITIES CORPORATION, and JERROLD N. WEINBERG, Defendants.	

PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY. IT MAY AFFECT YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS.

TO: PARTICIPANTS IN AND BENEFICIARIES OF THE BAY ENVIRONMENTAL PENSION PLAN AND RETIREMENT PLAN FROM JANUARY 1, 2000, TO TERMINATION OF THE PLANS

RE: LAWSUIT ARISING FROM MANAGEMENT OF PENSION PLAN AND RETIREMENT PLAN ASSETS LEADING UP TO THE TERMINATION OF THE PLANS

A FEDERAL COURT HAS AUTHORIZED THIS NOTICE. THIS IS NOT A SOLICITATION FROM A LAWYER. YOU HAVE NOT BEEN SUED.

I. INTRODUCTION

This Notice is intended to inform you of a lawsuit involving the Bay Environmental Pension Plan and the Bay Environmental Retirement Plan ("the Plans"). It is a class action lawsuit, which means that two former Plan Participants, Jerry Vaughn and Theresa Travers, have sued the Plans on behalf of all individuals who participated in the Plans between January 1, 2000, to the final date on which the Plans' assets were transferred entirely to interest bearing investments. **This suit may affect your legal rights.**

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE LAWSUIT

On or about April 13, 2001, Bay Environmental, Inc., notified its employees that the Bay Environmental Pension Plan and the Bay Environmental Retirement Plan would be terminated effective April 30, 2001, as a result of Republic Services, Inc.'s purchase of Richmond Sanitary Services, Inc.

Plaintiffs allege that, as of approximately January 1, 2000, Bay Environmental, the Richmond Sanitary Services Board of Directors, including members of the Board of Directors's Investment Committee and the named Trustees of the Plans, and the Plans' investment advisors knew that the Plans would be terminated as a result of Republic Services, Inc.'s purchase of Richmond Sanitary Services. Plaintiffs further allege that Bay Environmental, the Richmond Sanitary Services Board of Directors, and the Plans' investment advisors breached their fiduciary duties to the Plans and their participants by failing to properly invest the Plans' assets in light of the Plans' future termination. Plaintiffs also allege that Bay Environmental and the Richmond Sanitary Services Board of Directors breached their fiduciary duties by failing to adequately investigate the Plan's investment advisors prior to hiring them, and failing to adequately monitor the performance of the Plans' investment advisors and the performance of the Plans' investments. Plaintiffs are asking the Court to compel the Defendants to make good losses to the Plans that Plaintiffs allege resulted from Defendants' breaches of fiduciary duty. If losses are restored to the Plans, the Plans' participants and beneficiaries may become entitled to additional benefits under the Plans.

On December 18, 2003, Plaintiffs filed their Complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. On September 26, 2005, the Court dismissed Plaintiffs' Complaint based on a lack of statutory standing to bring suit under ERISA § 502(a)(2). Plaintiffs appealed to the federal Court of Appeal for the Ninth Circuit. In a decision dated June 4, 2009, the Ninth Circuit reversed the decision of the District Court and held that Plaintiffs did have standing to bring suit under ERISA § 502(a)(2). The lawsuit has since resumed in the District Court for the Northern District of California before U.S. District Judge Samuel Conti.

Defendants deny any and all wrongdoing. The Court has not yet determined which side is correct.

III. HOW YOU MAY BE AFFECTED BY THIS LAWSUIT

You have been sent this notice because you may be a member one or both of the classes described below. If so, the outcome of the case will affect your rights with respect to your benefits under the Plans. As a member of one or both of the classes, you are automatically included as a plaintiff in these claims and do not have the right to withdraw yourself from this litigation. You will be bound by any judgment made by the Court, whether favorable or unfavorable to you.

You do not need to do anything in order to be a plaintiff in this lawsuit. You do not need to affirmatively state your intent to participate, nor can you exclude yourself from the litigation.

IV. WHO IS AFFECTED BY THIS LAWSUIT

On October 1, 2009, the Court certified the following two classes ("the Classes"):

- All participants in and beneficiaries of the Bay Environmental Pension Plan from January 1, 2000, through the final date on which the Pension Plan's assets were transferred entirely to interest bearing investments, excluding any participants, beneficiaries, and the estates of any deceased participants or beneficiaries, who are or have previously been named as Defendants in this action.
- All participants in and beneficiaries of the Bay Environmental Retirement Plan from January 1, 2000, through the final date on which the Retirement Plan's assets were transferred entirely to interest bearing investments, excluding any participants, beneficiaries, and the estates of any deceased participants or beneficiaries, who are or have previously been named as Defendants in this action.

Any individual who is a member of one or both of the Classes will be affected by this lawsuit.

V. LEGAL REPRESENTATION

The Court has determined that the interests of the members of the Classes will be represented by Plaintiffs Vaughn and Travers through their attorneys, as counsel for those Classes. Because the Court has approved these attorneys as class counsel, and because these are mandatory classes, you do not have the right to change counsel for these classes.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Vaughn and Travers are:

Teresa S. Renaker - California State Bar No. 187800 Lindsay Nako - California State Bar No. 239090 LEWIS, FEINBERG, LEE, RENAKER & JACKSON, P.C. 1330 Broadway, Suite 1800

> Oakland, ČA 94612 Telephone: (510) 839-6824 Facsimile: (510) 839-7839

Class members may enter appearances in this lawsuit. However, class members are responsible for compensating any attorney that they hire for this

purpose and will not receive any reimbursement from Plaintiffs or the Class.

VI. PAYMENT OF ATTORNEYS' FEES

The attorneys for Plaintiffs Vaughn and Travers are being paid for their time on a contingency basis, which means that if there is no recovery on Plaintiffs' claims, there will be no attorneys' fees awarded and the attorneys will not be paid for their time. If there is a recovery on one or both of the claims, the attorneys for Plaintiffs Vaughn and Travers will receive attorneys' fees as determined by the Court, which may be a part of any settlement obtained or money judgment in favor of the members of the Classes. You will not be charged directly by these attorneys. If you choose to be represented by your own lawyer, you may hire one at your own expense.

VII. FURTHER INFORMATION

For further information about this notice or questions concerning this lawsuit, please contact the attorneys for Plaintiffs Vaughn and Travers at the address and phone number provided above.

VIII. COURT AUTHORIZATION OF NOTICE TO THE CLASS

THIS NOTICE AND ITS CONTENT HAS BEEN AUTHORIZED BY THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, THE HONORABLE SAMUEL CONTI, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE. THE COURT HAS MADE NO DECISION ABOUT THE MERITS OF THE CLAIMS, CROSS-CLAIMS, DEFENSES, OR CROSS-DEFENSES ASSERTED BY ANY PARTY IN THIS CASE.